RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Annual Administrative Report

F.No.3(1)/IC/2018

05.02.2018

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2017(From 01^{st} January to 31^{st} December, 2017) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:

(a) The number of requests made to the public authority.	1939
(b) The number of decisions (1st appeal) where applicants were not entitled to access the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	195 Information was not provided under Sections 2(f), 2(j) and 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.
(c) The number of 2 nd appeals referred to the Central information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Dismissed. In most of the cases CIC upheld the contention of this Secretariat.
	In one or two cases, CIC directed this authority to provide additional information, if any.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	NIL
(e) The amount of charges collected by the public authority under this Act.	Rs. 7,131
(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Efforts have been made to upload as much information as possible on the website of the Lok Sabha Secretariat i.e. www.loksabha.nic.in – to facilitate online access by the information seekers.
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	NIL ****

RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Annual Administrative Report

F.No.3(1)/IC/2017

08.02.2017

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2016(From 01st January to 31st December, 2016) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under :

(a) The number of requests made to the public authority.	2242
(b) The number of decisions (1 st appeal) where applicants were not entitled to access the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	180 Information was not provided under Sections 2(f), 2(j) and 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.
(c) The number of 2 nd appeals referred to the Central information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Dismissed. In most of the cases CIC upheld the contention of this Secretariat.
	In one or two cases, CIC directed this authority to provide additional information, if any.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	NIL
(e) The amount of charges collected by the public authority under this Act.	Rs. 11,690
(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	NIL

RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Annual Administrative Report

F.No.3(1)/IC/2016

16.02.2016

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2015 (From 01st January to 31st December, 2015) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:

(a) The number of requests made to the public authority.	2007
(b) The number of decisions (1st appeal) where applicants were not entitled to access the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	208 Information was not provided under Sections 2(f), 2(j) and 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.
(c) The number of 2 nd appeals referred to the Central information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Dismissed. In most of the cases CIC upheld the contention of this Secretariat.
	In one or two cases, CIC directed this authority to provide additional information, if any.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	NIL
(e) The amount of charges collected by the public authority under this Act.	Rs. 12,633/-
(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Efforts have been made to upload as much information as possible on the website of the Lok Sabha Secretariat i.e. www.loksabha.nic.in – to facilitate online access by the information seekers.
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	NIL

RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Annual Administrative Report

F.No. 3(1)/IC /2015 6.02.2015

Under Section 25 (3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2013 (From 1st January, 2014 to 31st December, 2014) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a) The number of requests made to the public authority.	1837
(b) The number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of his Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	Information was not provided under Sections 2(f), 2 (j) and 8 (1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.
(c) The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals	Dismissed. In most cased CIC upheld the contention of this Secretariat. In few cases, CIC directed to provide additional information if any.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act	Nil
(e) The amount of charges collected by the public authority under this Act.	Rs. 17305 /=

(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act Efforts have been made to upload as much information as possible on the website of the Lok Sabha i.e. www.loksabha.nic.in.

(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.

Nil.

RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Annual Administrative Report

F.No. 3(1)/IC /2014

11.02.2014

Under Section 25 (3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2013 (From 1st January, 2013 to 31st December, 2013) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a) The number of requests made to the	1800
public	1600
1	
authority.	44
(b) The number of decisions where	44
applicants were not entitled to access the	Information was not provided under
documents pursuant to the requests, the	Sections 2(f), 2 (j) and 8 (1)(j) of the RTI
provisions of his Act under which these	Act, 2005.
decisions were made and the number of	
times such provisions were invoked.	
(c) The number of appeals referred to the	24
Central Information Commission for	Dismissed.
review, the nature of the appeals and the	In one case, CIC directed the appellant to
outcome of the appeals	visit the website as the information is
	available on the website and see the details
	for himself.
	In another case, CIC observed that the
	details for a period of nearly 12 years will
	disproportionately divert the resources the
	Secretariat and cannot, therefore, be
	disclosed, especially when it has to be
	collected from many individual files.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action	Nil
taken against any officer in respect of the	
administration of this Act	
(e) The amount of charges collected by the	Rs. 21910
public authority under this Act.	
(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by	It has been tried to upload as much
the public authorities to administer and	information as possible on the website of
implement the spirit and intention of this	the Lok Sabha i.e. www.loksabha.nic.in.
Act	

(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.

Nil.

RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Annual Administrative Report

F.No. 3(1)/IC/2013 15.03.2013

Under Section 25 (3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2012 (From 1^{st} January, 2012 to 31^{st} December, 2012) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under :-

(a) The number of requests made to the public	1616
authority.	
(b) The number of decisions where applicants	19
were not entitled to access the documents	Information was not provided under Sections 2(f),
pursuant to the requests, the provisions of his Act	2 (j) and 8 (1)(j) of the RTI Act, 2005.
under which these decisions were made and the	
number of times such provisions were invoked.	
(c) The number of appeals referred to the Central	48
Information Commission for review, the nature of	Dismissed.
the appeals and the outcome of the appeals	In one case, CIC directed to provide the
	information after deleting the specific items
	relating to security.
	In one case, CIC observed that the application fee
	of Rs.20/- may be accepted even if the requisite
	application fee is of Rs.10/- only and the
	application may be processed.
	In another case, CIC held that where a certified
	copy of the notification has been specifically
	sought, the CPIO must put his seal and signature
	on every page of the document.
	In another case, the CIC sought a compliance
	report within six months vis-à-vis its order of
	computerization of TA/DA records of MPs.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken	Nil
against any officer in respect of the administration	
of this Act.	
(e) The amount of charges collected by the public	Rs. 13240/-
authority under this Act.	113. 13270/
authority under this Act.	

(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public	It has been tried to upload as much information as
authorities to administer and implement the spirit	possible on the website of the Lok Sabha i.e.
and intention of this Act.	www.loksabha.nic.in.
(g) Recommendations for reform, including	Nil.
recommendations in respect of the particular	
public authorities, for the development,	
improvement, modernization, reform or	
amendment to this Act or other legislation or	
common law or any other matter relevant for	
operationalising the right to access information.	

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT INFORMATION CELL

F.No.3(1)/IC/2012 29 January, 2012

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2011 (From 1st January, 2011 to 31st December, 2011) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a) The number of requests made to	1510 requests were received.
the public authority.	
(b) The number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	Information was not provided under Section 2(f), Section 2(j) Section 7(9), Section 8(1)(h), 8(1)(j) & 8(1) (c) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. However, applicants who were informed that information cannot be provided under section 7(9) of the RTI Act were requested to visit Lok Sabha Secretariat with prior appointment for culling out desired information
 (c) The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals. (d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of 	Upheld the contention of this Secretariat with specific direction in two circumstances. Nil
respect of the administration of this Act.	

(e)	The amount of charges collected by the public authority under this Act.	Rs. 19863/-
(f)	Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	In some of the cases where it was found that culling out the desired information would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority under section 7 (9), the applicants were asked to visit the Secretariat with prior appointment and cull out the requisite information.
(g)	Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	Nil

LOK SABHA RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Status Report

F.No.3(11)/IC/2011 19 April, 2011

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2010 (From 1st January, 2010 to 31st December, 2010) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a)	The number of requests made to	1020 requests were received.
	the public authority.	
(b)	The number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	Information was not provided under Section 2(f), Section 2(j) Section 7(9) & Section 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. However, applicants who were informed that information cannot be provided under section 7(9) of the RTI Act were requested to visit Lok Sabha Secretariat with prior appointment for culling out desired information
(c)	The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	12 Dismissed.
(d)	Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	Nil
(e)	by the public authority under this Act.	Rs. 24461/-
(f)	Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	In some of the cases where it was found that culling out the desired information would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority under section 7 (9), the applicants were asked to visit the Secretariat with prior

	appointment and cull out the requisite information.
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	INII

LOK SABHA RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005

Status Report

F.No.3(1)/IC/2010 04 March, 2010

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2009 (From 1st January, 2009 to 31st December, 2009) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a) The number of requests made to the public authority.	814 requests were received.
(b) The number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	Information was not provided under Section 2(f), Section 2(j) Section 7(9) & Section 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. However, applicants who were informed that information cannot be provided under section 7(9) of the RTI Act were requested to visit Lok Sabha Secretariat with prior appointment for culling out desired information
(c) The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	23 Dismissed.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	Nil

(e) The amount of charges collected by the	
public authority under this Act.	Rs.8698/-
(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	In some of the cases where it was found that culling out the desired information would disproportionately divert the resources of the public authority under section 7 (9), the applicants were asked to visit the Secretariat with prior appointment and cull out the requisite information.
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	Nil

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT INFORMATION CELL

F.No.3(1)/IC/2009 22 January, 2009

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year (From 1^{st} January, 2008 to 31^{st} December, 2008) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a) The number of public authorit	f requests made to the y.	805 requests were received.
applicants wer to the docun requests, the under which	of decisions where e not entitled to access nents pursuant to the provisions of this Act these decisions were number of times such the invoked.	Information was not provided under Section 2(j) & Section 7(9) of the Right to Information Act, 2005. However, applicants who were desired information under section 7(9) were asked to come to this Secretariat prior appointment for culling out desired information
Central Informat State Informat case may be, f	f appeals referred to the nation Commission or ion Commission, as the or review, the nature of nd the outcome of the	Five Dismissed.
(d) Particulars of taken against a	any disciplinary action any officer in respect of tion of this Act.	Nil
1 1	of charges collected by cority under this Act.	Rs. 5997/-
the public au	ch indicate an effort by thorities to administer t the spirit and intention	In some of the cases where it was found that culling out the desired information would disproportionately divert the resources of the

of this Act.	public authority under section 7 (9), the applicants were asked to visit the Secretariat with prior appointment and cull out the requisite information.
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	Nil

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT INFORMATION CELL

F.No.3(1)/IC/2008

3 March, 2008

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year (From 1st January, 2007 to 31st December, 2007) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a)	The number of requests made to each public authority.	445 requests were received.
(b)	The number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	Eight Information was not provided under Section 2(j) & Section 8(1)(h) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.
(c)	The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Six Dismissed. In one case CIC has directed to refund the information fee.

(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	Nil
(e) The amount of charges collected by each public authority under this Act.	Rs. 7,550/-
(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Nil
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter (i relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	 (i) Section 6(3) provides for transfer of application within 5 days from the date of receipt of the application. This could preferably be 5 working days instead of 5 days.) There is no provision in the Act to verify whether the applicant is a citizen of India whereas all citizens shall have the right to information under Section 3 of the Act. (iii) The Act does not specify whether information can also be provided to Associations, Unions and Other Bodies registered with the Government or otherwise.

LOK SABHA SECRETARIAT INFORMATION CELL

F.No.3(1)/IC/2007

21th March, 2007

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act, 2005 the information for the year 2006 (From 1st January, 2006 to 31st December, 2006) in respect of Lok Sabha Secretariat is as under:-

(a) The number of requests made to each public authority.	318 requests were received.
(b) The number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	Five Information was not provided under Section 2(j) & Section 8(1)(j) of the Right to Information Act, 2005.
(c) The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Three One appeal was dismissed. Decision on two cases is still awaited.
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	Nil

(e) The amount of charges collected by each public authority under this Act.	Rs. 7,450/-
(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Nil
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	No comments to offer in the matter.

Under Section 25(3) of the Right to Information Act,2005 the information upto 3 1.12.2005 in respect of this Secretariat is as under:-

	Twenty requests have been received in this Cell. Out of which twelve were dealt with by this Secretariat and furnished the desired information to the applicant and eight were transferred to the concerned Ministry/Department.
(b) The number of decisions where applicants were not entitled to access to the documents pursuant to the requests, the provisions of this Act under which these decisions were made and the number of times such provisions were invoked.	Nil
(c) The number of appeals referred to the Central Information Commission or State Information Commission, as the case may be, for review, the nature of the appeals and the outcome of the appeals.	Nil
(d) Particulars of any disciplinary action taken against any officer in respect of the administration of this Act.	Nil
(e) The amount of charges collected by each public authority under this Act.	Nil

(f) Any facts which indicate an effort by the public authorities to administer and implement the spirit and intention of this Act.	Nil
(g) Recommendations for reform, including recommendations in respect of the particular public authorities, for the development, improvement, modernization, reform or amendment to this Act or other legislation or common law or any other matter relevant for operationalising the right to access information.	No comments to offer in the matter.